UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/668,661	09/23/2003	Jean-Claude Yvin	16721-0250 (42528-292745)	1057
881 7590 08/24/2007 STITES & HARBISON PLLC		EXAMINER		
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			HENRY, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	A		PAPER NUMBER
			1623	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/668,661	YVIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael C. Henry	1623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for						
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO per - Failure of Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	, _					
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>23-34</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
10)∐ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptificant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the cath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s						
2)	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/668,661

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is a responsive to the amendment filed, 04/11/07. The amendment filed 04/11/07 affects the application, 10/668,661 as follows:

Applicant's arguments and Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 04/11/07 is sufficient to overcome the rejection as applied under 35 U.S.C. 103 as set forth in the prior office action mailed 12/11/06. The responsive to applicants' arguments is contained herein below.

Claims 23-34 are pending in the application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzuki et al. (Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, (1999 Jan) Vol. 63, No. 1, pages 104-110) in view of Fan et al. (Zhongguo Yaoke Daxue Xuebao (1988), 19 (1), pages 30-34) (Abstract Only).

In claim 23, applicant claims a method of promoting the regeneration of the cells in the bone marrow and the peripheral blood of a patient, said patient being subjected to a chemotherapeutic antineoplastic treatment comprising administration to said patient of an effective amount of an antineoplastic agent which causes an acute reduction of the said cells due to the effect of the antineoplastic agent, said method comprising administering laminarin to the

Application/Control Number: 10/668,661

Art Unit: 1623

patient in an amount effective to cause promotion of the regeneration of the cells, said laminarin being administered in conjunction with the administration of the antineoplastic agent. Claim 24 is drawn the method of claim 23, wherein the antineoplastic agent is cyclophosphamide. Claims 25 and 26 are drawn to said method wherein laminarin is administered by specific routes. Claims 27 and 28 are drawn to said method wherein laminarin is administered before, simulataneously with or after the antineoplastic agent or the cyclophosphamide. Claims 17-22 are drawn to said method wherein laminarin is soluble laminarin.

Tsuzuki et al. disclose a method of promoting the formation (regeneration) of blood marrow cells (hematopoiesis) of a patient (mice), said patient being subjected to a chemotherapeutic antineoplastic treatment comprising administration to said patient of an effective amount of an antineoplastic agent (cyclophosphamide) which causes an acute reduction of the said cells (leukopenia) due to the effect of the antineoplastic agent (cyclophosphamide), said method comprising administering a soluble glucan to the patient in an amount effective to cause the promotion of the formation (regeneration) of blood cells, said glucan being administered in conjunction with the administration of the antineoplastic agent (cyclophosphamide) (see abstract). Furthermore, Tsuzuki et al. disclose that the said glucan increase hematopoietic responses or exhibits hematopoietic activity (i.e. they promote the formation (regeneration) of blood cells) (see abstract). In addition, Tsuzuki et al. suggest that the conformation of the glucans are independent of the hematopoietic response caused by the glucans (see abstract).

The difference between applicant's claimed method and Tsuzuki et al.'s method is that Tsuzuki et al. do not use the specific glucan, laminarin.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,661

Art Unit: 1623

Fan et al. disclose that the glucan, laminarin, exhibits remarkable antagonistic action to leukopenia and remarkable antiradiation effect (see abstract). This implies that the glucan, laminarin oppose, prevent or act against an acute reduction of the said cells (leukopenia).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made, in view of Tsuzuki et al. and Fan et al., to have used the method of Tsuzuki et al. to promote the regeneration of the cells in the bone marrow and the peripheral blood of a patient who is being subjected to a chemotherapeutic antineoplastic treatment of a antineoplastic agent such as cyclophosphamide that causes the said reduction, by administering the glucan, laminarin since Tsuzuki et al. disclose that glucans (which includes laminarin) promote the formation (regeneration) of blood cells and Fan et al. teach that the glucan, laminarin, also opposes, prevent or act against an acute reduction of the said cells (leukopenia).

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated in view of Tsuzuki et al. and Fan et al., to have used the method of Tsuzuki et al. to promote the regeneration of the cells in the bone marrow and the peripheral blood of a patient who is being subjected to a chemotherapeutic antineoplastic treatment of a antineoplastic agent such as cyclophosphamide that causes the said reduction, by administering the glucan, laminarin, based on factors such as the type, and/or severity of the leukopenia caused by said treatment, and since Tsuzuki et al. disclose that glucans (which includes laminarin) promote the formation (regeneration) of blood cells and Fan et al. teach that the glucan, laminarin, also opposes, prevent or act against an acute reduction of the said cells (leukopenia). It should be noted that the use of specific routes and ways of administration of said composition is common and obvious in the art, and is well within the purview of a skilled artisan.

Art Unit: 1623

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Henry

Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D. Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

August 17, 2007.